

Research Paper



Impact of corporate board size on firm performance: evidence from the Nepalese banks

Padam Dongol*

*Lincoln University College, Malaysia.

Article Info

Article History:

Received: 04 November 2022

Revised: 09 January 2023

Accepted: 18 January 2023

Published: 01 March 2023

Keywords:

Board Size

Firm Performance

Return on Equity

Return on Assets

Corporate Governance

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine the impact of corporate board size on the firm performance of Nepalese commercial banks. This study examines the banks that have directors less than seven on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the board, based on 8 years of data taken from the year 2013 to 2020. The study includes 27 banks as sample banks. The firm performance is measured by the Return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA). Corporate board size and firm performance are measured by using the Independent Sample t-test. The finding of the study shows that banks have less than seven directors on the board and banks have equal to or more than seven directors on the board have not found a significant impact on the firm performance of the commercial banks in Nepal.



Corresponding Author:

Padam Dongol

Lincoln University College, Malaysia.

Email: Padamdongol2000@gmail.com

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Governance is the set of rules, practices, as well as processes that are managed and controlled by a company [1]. It is also the major area of academic research in industrialized and developing countries around the world [2]. Thus, today's business environment, there is more competition. It is now more important than ever to ensure that corporate governance successfully safeguards the interests of

shareholders. The concept of corporate governance is how suppliers supply the money to the company and ensure that they will receive a return on investment. The word "corporate governance" mainly refers to protections that help small investors avoid being usurped by managers and powerful stockholders [3], [4]. A substantial quantity of corporate governance literature covers the effectiveness of boards of directors.

The size of the board is an important aspect of corporate governance. According to the agency theory, lower board sizes may be associated with better corporate financial performance. A smaller board size is less difficult for coordination and communication issues. Additionally, due to the issue of the span of control, smaller boards are likely more successful at monitoring management's activities than larger boards because they are harder for the CEO to influence. As a result, smaller boards may result in greater financial performance for the company [5], [6]. While the resource dependence approach is in favor of large boards, the agency theory places a major emphasis on the value of smaller boards. On the other side, the resource dependence theory proposed that larger boards with more directors are good in minimizing reliance on external resources because they may offer more opportunity for environmental links than smaller boards [7]. Corporate board members play a vital role in the firm's corporate governance, and understanding this relationship is crucial to our understanding of corporate governance [8]. Advising and monitoring are the two main roles of directors [9]. The advising role entails giving the CEO knowledgeable advice. (Fama and Jensen, 1983). The board of directors' second role is to appoint the CEO. (Chief Executive Officer) and other top executives, assess their performance and make sure that management adhere to for shareholders' interests [10]. If an executive's performance is below standard, they are replaced.

The performance demonstrates how well an organization uses its resources to achieve its goals [11], it is also an important part of evaluating the overall success of the company [12]. Most firms' performance indicators start with financial performance measures [13]. ROA and ROE are better indicators of corporate financial performance [14]. The banking sector of Nepal has very special and plays an essential role in the achievement of the continuous economic growth of the nation. It comprises Licensed Commercial Banks, which dominate the financial system and account for the highest capital utilization in the financial system. Banks are offering payment services, making it easier for all companies to conduct their financial transactions. [1] This study aims to determine the relationship between the corporate board size and the performance of the banks. This article follows the following sections: Section 2 explains the review of the literature on board size and company performance. Section 3 includes the formation of the hypothesis. Section 4 describes the methodology used to evaluate the major hypothesis supported by the model and data. Section 5 explains the results and findings. Section 6 concludes the study.

2. RELATED WORK

2.1 Agency Theory

In order to manage the company, shareholders appoint directors, who are also required to operate in their best interests. During the period, managers acted in accordance with their own interests because there was no affiliation between the company's shareholders and managers, and this created conflicts of interest. Given that circumstance, independent board members can lower this risk by overseeing and managing the managers' section. [15], [16] Show that an adequate monitoring system is required to protect shareholders from the self-interest of the managers. So, a high proportion of outside directors favorable to the organizations to monitor the actions of managers. Other than that, agency relation is defined as a contract between owners and managers. According to these contracts, owners delegate their decision-making power to the managers of the firm. As a result, the division between ownership and management is created, and it leads to a conflict of interest and agency problems. Both parties try to maximize their own benefits. The researcher suggested that the behavior of the directors is the best way to reduce the agency problem within the company.

2.2 Resource Dependency Theory

[17] Emphasized that a board of directors is more than just its members; they are also a company's capital. Directors provide organizations with resources such as information, skills, and knowledge as well

as access to crucial organizational components. It aids in maximizing the firm's worth. So, the firms should attract external directors with knowledge in different areas. According to this resource dependency theory, [18] explained how the board is a crucial link between the company and outside resources that is necessary to improve the organization's performance and presentation. The role of the resource dependency theory is to provide valuable external resources to enterprises. So, the large and well-diversified leads to giving valuable links to external resources the organizations [19]. Resource dependency theory describes how external resources affect organizational behavior. Organization and Resources are linked by the Board of directors. Different types of directors provide different benefits to the firms and more diverse board provides more valuable resources to the organization [20].

2.3 Board Size and Firm Performance

[6] Argues that "Keeping boards small can improve their performance. When boards get beyond seven or eight people, they are less likely to function effectively and easier for CEO to control." Similarly, [5] state "when a board has more than ten members it becomes more difficult for them all to express their ideas and opinions." and add that the overcrowding on American corporate boards results in financial losses for shareholders, job losses for staff, and a decline in the corporation's ability to compete on the global stage. According to [5], boards should only include seven or eight members. They also support the idea of smaller boards. The argument used against large boards is that it is more difficult and expensive for a large number of individuals to communicate, coordinate, and make decisions than it is for a smaller group. [21], [22] found that there is a direct and negative correlation between board size and firm performance.

According to [23], boards of Indian banks with a size between 6 and 9 have a significantly positive link with company performance. The size of the board is a factor in how much it monitors and advises management on various matters, and it also contributes to the bank's decision-making competency. However, boards larger than 9 become inconsequential in terms of a company's success.

[24] Investigated the board size and directors' composition related to the financial performance of Pakistani and Chinese commercial banks, covering the period of 2009 to 2018. Based on the results of a panel regression model, there is no significant association between the size of Pakistani commercial banks' boards of directors and their financial performance. However, a significant and positive correlation was found to exist between the number of directors on a board and the financial performance of Chinese commercial banks.

Kaymak and Bektas (2008) and [25] found that the relationship between board size and the performance of the bank is non-significant, by working under the BIST data set 12 banks are used, the findings suggest a negative correlation between board size and bank profitability. [26] Uncover the impact size of the board on a firm's financial performance by selecting data from 2005 to 2010 and 2006 to 2008 respectively.

2.4 Hypothesis

H₁: There is a significant difference in firms' performance with directors less than seven on the board and directors equal to or more than seven on the board.

3. METHODOLOGY

Altogether, there are 27 commercial banks are operating in Nepal, and all the banks were taken as sample banks for the study which has been listed on the Nepal Stock Exchange from the year 2013 to 2020 period. An independent sample t-test was conducted to determine the impact of corporate board size and bank performance. The study focused on the directors less than seven on the board and equal to or more than seven on the board of the commercial banks. Bank performance such as ROE and ROA two financial measures were used for this study. An Independent sample t-test was used to determine the impact of the no. of directors on the board and bank performance of Nepalese commercial banks.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of ROE and ROA by Grouping Variables (No. of Directors on the Board)

No. of Directors Participated in a Board		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
ROE	Directors less than seven in a board	8	13.9550	3.23503	1.14376
	Directors equal to or more than seven in a board	19	15.4663	5.48072	1.25736
ROA	Directors less than seven in a board	8	1.5913	0.85119	0.30094
	Directors equal to or more than seven in a board	19	1.5937	0.34761	0.07975

Table 2. Independent Samples T-Test Results

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means								
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
ROE	Equal variances assumed	0.494	0.488	-0.724	25	0.476	-1.51132	2.08860	-5.8127	2.79024
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.889	21.775	0.384	-1.51132	1.69975	-5.03849	2.01586
ROA	Equal variances assumed	3.621	0.069	-0.011	25	0.992	-0.00243	0.22691	-0.46977	0.46490
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.008	8.002	0.994	-0.00243	0.31133	-0.72032	0.71546

Table 1 shows the descriptive measures of ROE and ROA by a grouping variable (No. of directors participated in a board). Altogether, there are 27 commercial banks operating in a country. Out of 27 banks, 8 banks have less than 7 directors on the board and 19 banks have equal to or more than 7 directors on the board. The mean ROE of the banks that have less than 7 directors participating on the board is 13.9550 (SD 3.23503) and banks that have equal to or more than 7 directors participating on the board is 15.4663 (SD 5.48072). Likewise, the mean ROA of the banks that have less than 7 directors participating on the board is 1.5913 (SD 0.85119) and banks that have equal or more than 7 directors participating on the board is 1.5937 (SD 0.34761).

Table 2 shows the independent sample t-test result. The first portion of the table indicates Levene's test results. This test is done to understand if the variances of ROE and ROA in the two categories of no. of directors participated on the board (directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the boards) are homogeneous (equal) or not. Here, the p-value (Sig.) of Levene's test, is 0.488. Since the p-value is > 0.05, it indicates the variances of ROE of banks that have directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal or more than 7 on the board are equal. Therefore, Levene's test p-value is > 0.05, so the study considered the t-test results of "Equal Variances assumed". An independent-samples t-test was conducted to compare the bank performance (ROE) of banks that have directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the board. Based on the results, there were no significant differences ($t(25) = -0.724$, $p = 0.476$) in scores for banks that have less than 7 participated on the board ($M = 13.9550$, $SD = 3.23503$) and a bank that have equal to or more than 7 directors participated on the

board ($M = 15.4663$, $SD = 5.48072$). The magnitude of the differences in the means (mean difference = -1.51132 , 95% CI: -5.81287 to 2.79024) was very small. Hence, the alternative hypothesis is rejected and the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, the mean ROE of directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the boards is not different ($p = 0.476$)

Similarly, the p-value (Sig.) of Levene's test, is 0.069. Since the p-value is > 0.05 , it indicates the variances of ROA of banks that have directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the boards are equal. Therefore, Levene's test p-value is > 0.05 , so the study considered the t-test results of "Equal Variances assumed". An independent-samples t-test was conducted to compare the bank performance (ROA) for banks that have directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the boards are equal. There were no significant differences ($t(25) = -0.011$, $p = 0.992$) in scores for banks that have directors less than 7 on the board ($M = 1.5913$, $SD = 0.85119$) and banks that have equal to or more than 7 directors on the board ($M = 1.5937$, $SD = 0.34761$). The magnitude of the differences in the means (mean difference = -0.00243 , 95% CI: -0.46977 to 0.46490) was very small. Hence, the alternative hypothesis is rejected and the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, the mean ROA of directors less than 7 on the board and directors equal to or more than 7 on the boards is not different ($p = 0.992$)

5. CONCLUSION

This study offers new insights into the relationship between no. of directors on the board (directors less than seven on the board and directors equal to or more than seven on the board) and firm performance. Altogether, 27 commercial banks are operating in the nation, and all the banks were taken as sample banks for the study. The 8 years of data were taken from the year 2013 to 2020. This study concludes that banks have directors less than seven on the board and directors equal to or more than seven on the board did not play a significant role in the firm performance. This result is similar to, reported no significant association was found between board size and the financial performance of Pakistani commercial banks. Therefore, the policy of adding more no. of directors to the board did not enhance the significant financial performance of the banks. Thus, this study recommended not adding more directors to the board which increases the cost to the bank.

Acknowledgments

The authors have no specific acknowledgments to make for this research.

Funding Information

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions Statement

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Padam Dongol	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓

C : Conceptualization

M : Methodology

So : Software

Va : Validation

Fo : Formal analysis

I : Investigation

R : Resources

D : Data Curation

O : Writing - Original Draft

E : Writing - Review & Editing

Vi : Visualization

Su : Supervision

P : Project administration

Fu : Funding acquisition

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Informed Consent

All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, and their voluntary consent was obtained prior to data collection.

Ethical Approval

Not Applicable.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wilathgamuwa, D. N. (2018). 'Banking Secrecy: Existence at any cost', 38(June), pp. 1-20
- [2] M. C. A. Nazar, 'The relationship between board characteristics and firm performance of Sri Lankan listed companies', Business and Management, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 343-350, 2012.
- [3] A. Shleifer and R. W. Vishn, A Survey of Corporate Governance. NBER, 1996. doi.org/10.3386/w5554
- [4] L. Porta, R. Lopez-De-Silanes, F. Shleifer, and A. Vishny, Investor protection: origins, consequences, and reform. 1999. doi.org/10.3386/w7428
- [5] Lipton, M., & Lorsch, J. W. (1992). A modest proposal for improved corporate governance. The business lawyer, 59-77.
- [6] M. C. Jensen, 'The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems', The Journal of Finance, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 831-880, 1993. doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1993.tb04022.x
- [7] J. Pfeffer and G. R. Salancik, The external control of organization: A resource dependence perspective. New York, 1978.
- [8] P. M. Guest, 'The impact of board size on firm performance: Evidence from the UK. The European Journal of Finance', vol. 15, pp. 385-404, 2009. doi.org/10.1080/13518470802466121
- [9] C. G. Raheja, 'Determinants of board size and composition: A theory of corporate boards', Journal of financial and quantitative analysis, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 283-306, 2005. doi.org/10.1017/S0022109000002313
- [10] B. E. Hermalin and M. S. Weisbach, 'The determinants of board composition. The Rand journal of economics', pp. 589-606, 1988. doi.org/10.2307/2555459
- [11] Daft, R.L. (1997). Management, 4th ed., Florida, The Dryden Press.
- [12] Parker, C. (2000). "Performance Measurement", Work Study 49(2), pp.63-66. doi.org/10.1108/00438020010311197
- [13] E. Bloxham, Economic Value Management: Applications and Techniques. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- [14] J. M. Stern, J. S. Shiely, and I. Ross, The EVA Challenge: Implementing Value-Added Change in an Organization. USA, John Wiley&Sons, 2004.
- [15] A. Alqatan, I. Chbib, and K. Hussainey, 'How does board structure impact on firm performance in the UK?', Corporate Board role duties and composition, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 18-27, 2019. doi.org/10.22495/cbv15i2art2
- [16] B. Arosa, T. Iturralde, and A. Maseda, 'The board structure and firm performance in SMEs: Evidence from Spain', Investigaciones Europeas de Direccion y Economia de la Empresa, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 127-135, 2013. doi.org/10.1016/j.iedee.2012.12.003
- [17] J. Suganya and L. Kengatharan, 'Board Structure and Financial Performance of Listed Finance Companies in Sri Lanka', International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, vol. 7, no. 2, 2017. doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v7i2.12192
- [18] M. Anis, 'The Impact of Board Characteristics on Firms Financial Performance - Evidence from the Egyptian listed companies', Global Journal of Human Social Science: H Interdisciplinary, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 57-75, 2017.

- [19] E. Meyer and W. De, 'The impact of board structure on the financial performance of listed South African companies', *Corporate Board: Role, Duties and Composition*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 19-31, 2013. doi.org/10.22495/cbv9i3art2
- [20] S. Abeysirigunawardana, 'The Impact of Board Structure on Bank Performance', *Evidence from European Banks*, vol. 48, 2018. doi.org/10.4038/ss.v48i2.4713
- [21] H. M. D. Somathilake, 'The Effect of Board Characteristics on Firm Financial Performance', *Global Scientific Journals*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 224-229, 2005.
- [22] J. S. Hewathenna, A. Haleem, and A. Jamaldeen, 'the Compliance of Best Practices of Board Structure and Its Impact for the Financial Performance in Sri Lanka. (Diversified Holdings Listed Companies in Colombo Stock Exchange)', pp. 35-38, 2015.
- [23] C. P. Gafoor, V. Mariappan, and S. Thyagarajan, 'Board characteristics and bank performance in India', *IIMB Management Review*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 160-167, 2018. doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2018.01.007
- [24] M. K. Majeed, J. C. Jun, Z. U. R. Muhammad, M. Mohsin, and M. Z. Rafiq, 'The Board Size and Board Composition Impact on Financial Performance: An Evidence from the Pakistani and Chinese's Listed Banking Sector', *Economics, and Business (JAFEB)*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 81-95, 2020. doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no4.81
- [25] T. Kaymak and E. Bektas, 'Governance Mechanisms and Ownership in an Emerging Markets: The Case of Turkish Banks. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*', vol. 45, pp. 20-32, 2009. doi.org/10.2753/REE1540-496X450602
- [26] M. Doğan and F. Yildiz, 'The impact of the board of directors' size on the bank's performance: Evidence from Turkey', *European Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 130-140, 2013.

How to Cite: Padam Dongol. (2023). Impact of corporate board size on firm performance: evidence from the Nepalese banks. *Journal of Corporate Finance Management and Banking System (JCFMBS)*, 3(1), 40-46. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jcfmbs.32.1.8>

BIOGRAPHIE OF AUTHOR



Padam Dongol , has a Ph.D. from the Faculty of Management, Lincoln University College, Malaysia. His research deals with corporate governance, management accounting, and organizational performance, most notably inside Nepal's commercial banks. He has co-authored several studies that look at board composition and how it relates to firm performance, and also the influence of modern management accounting techniques on perceived organizational performance in Nepalese commercial banks. In that way, he adds to scholarship where finance meets day to day management practice, even though the boundaries can feel kind of blurry at times. Email: Padamdongol2000@gmail.com